Effect of Sodium Hypochlorite on Dentin Microhardness

Iris Slutzky-Goldberg, DMD, Manal Maree, DMD, Reuven Liberman, DMD, and Ilana Heling, DMD, MSc

This study was designed to evaluate the effect on root dentin microhardness of 2.5% and 6% sodium hypochlorite solutions for various irrigation periods. Forty-two bovine roots were divided into seven groups. The control group was irrigated with saline. The experimental samples were continuously irrigated with 2.5% or 6% NaOCI for 5, 10, or 20 min. Microhardness was measured at depths of 500 μ m, 1000 μ m, and 1500 μ m from the lumen. A decrease in microhardness was found at 500 μ m between the control and samples irrigated with 6% NaOCI and 2.5% NaOCI (p = 0.352, p = 0.084 respectively) at all irrigation periods. There also was a significant difference in groups irrigated for 10 or 20 min (p = 0.001, p < 0.001 respectively). At all distances, the decrease in microhardness was more marked after irrigation with 6% NaOCI than 2.5% NaOCI.

Irrigation solutions and medications used during endodontic treatment may lead to changes in the chemical and physical properties of dentin (1–4). The most commonly used irrigation solution and intracanal dressing are 0.5% to 6% sodium hypochlorite and calcium hydroxide paste, respectively (5). These alkaline materials react with organic tissue, changing the chemical structure and affecting the mechanical properties of dentin (1, 6–9). In untreated dentin, tensile strength varies with location and orientation of dentinal tubules (10, 11).

Sedgley and Messer (12) did not find significant differences in punch shear strength, toughness, and load-to-fracture of vital compared with contralateral endodontically treated teeth, but dentin was 3.5% harder in the former than in the latter. By contrast, in an earlier study, Lewinstein and Grajower (13) did not find any difference in the Vickers microhardness of dentin in vital and extracted teeth at various times after endodontic treatment. However, in both studies the endodontic materials and techniques were not evident.

Saleh and Ettman (3) reported decreased dentin microhardness after irrigation with $3\% H_2O_2$ and 5% NaOCl or 17% EDTA for 60 s. In a similar experimental model, dentin microhardness, which was greater closer to the lumen than in the

outer layers, decreased after instrumentation and irrigation with 2.5% NaOCl (4). This study was designed to evaluate the effect of various NaOCl concentrations and irrigations periods on dentin microhardness.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Roots of young, bovine, lower central incisors, 20 to 22 mm in length, were used. All teeth were stored in sterile saline in 4°C. The pulps were removed using barbed broaches and #40 K-files, and the cementum covered with nail polish to prevent entry of the irrigation solution.

Forty-two roots were randomly divided into seven groups: six experimental groups irrigated with 2.5% or 6% NaOCl for 5, 10, or 20 min, and a control group irrigated with saline. The solutions were replenished every minute throughout the experimental period to simulate clinical conditions.

After the irrigation procedure, the roots were cut into two 10-mm segments and embedded in self-curing acrylic resin held in plastic rings. The coronal portion of each embedded segment was ground with carborundum paper discs (300, 600, and 1200 grade) under running water and then polished using diamond paste.

Microhardness measurements were performed on each section at 500 μ m, 1000 μ m, and 1500 μ m from the pulp-dentin interface. At each depth, three indentations were made using a 300-g load oriented perpendicular to the indentation surface for 10 s. Measurements were taken with a Vickers Diamond Microhardness Tester (DMH-2 No 9157 Matsuzawa Seiki Co. LTD, Tokyo, Japan) in Vickers Hardness units (VHN). Statistical analysis was performed by the ANOVA test.

RESULTS

There was a difference in dentin microhardness between treated samples and controls in all groups tested. In the control group, the mean values of dentin microhardness at 500 μ m, 1000 μ m, and 1500 μ m were 38.91, 45.74, and 48.73 VHN, respectively. Irrigation with 2.5% NaOCl decreased root-dentin microhardness (Figure 1) after all experimental periods compared with the control (not significant after 5 min (p = 0.084) but statistically significant (p = 0.001 and p < 0.001) after 10 and 20 min, respectively).

Irrigation with 6% NaOCl reduced dentin microhardness for all experimental periods compared with the control (not statis-

Vol. 30, No. 12, December 2004

tically significant after 5 min (p = 0.352) and statistically significant after 10 and 20 min, respectively (p < 0.001 and p < 0.001; [(Fig. 1]).

At 500 μ m, the decrease in microhardness was statistically significantly more marked after irrigation with 6% NaOCl than 2.5% NaOCl (p = 0.031). At the same depth, after irrigation for 10 and 20 min, microhardness was lower than after 5 min (p < 0.001), and there was no significant difference between irrigation for 10 and 20 min.

At 1000 μ m, statistically significant decreases in microhardness but the differences among groups were not statistically significant (p = 0.520). At the same depth, increasing NaOCI concentration from 2.5% to 6% did not have a significant effect (p = 0.130). At a depth of 1500 μ m, there was statistically significant reduction in microhardness after 5, 10, and 20 min compared with the control group, but the differences for various irrigation periods were not statistically significant (p = 0.511). At the same depth, neither concentration had a statistically significant effect (p = 0.686; [Fig. 2]).

DISCUSSION

Bovine teeth are a good substitute for human dentin. Schilke et al. (14) did not observe by scanning electronic microscopy any significant differences in dentinal tubule diameters in human and bovine dentin. Tubular density found in bovine root was $23,738 \pm 4,457$ (number of tubules per mm²) compared with $21,343 \pm 7,290$ (number of tubules per mm²) in human dentin. The concentrations chosen for this experiment were 2.5% and 6% NaOCl; the former is routinely used for root canal treatment and the latter is the highest concentration used in endodontic irrigation (15).

According to Pashley, dentin microhardness, which depends on the amount of calcified matrix per mm², is inversely correlated to tubular density (16). Our observations were in keeping with the published data (17–19), because the microhardness found next to the lumen in which the tubuli are more dense was higher than in the periphery in which the tubuli are less crowded in both the control group and the test groups. Kinney et al. (20)



Fig 1. Influence of different irrigation solutions on dentin microhardness at 3 distances from pulpo-dentin interface, measured in VHN values.



Fig 2. Influence of irrigation time on dentin microhardness, measured in VHN values.

showed that the decrease in hardness was caused by a decrease in stiffness of intertubular dentin matrix caused by heterogeneous distribution of the mineral phase within the collagen matrix.

In a previous study, we suggested that irrigation period may have a crucial effect on dentin microhardness (4). This study showed that irrigation for 5 min did not lead to a significant change in dentin microhardness. However, a decrease was found after 10 min, with a further decrease, albeit statistically not significant, after 20 min. It should be emphasized that under clinical conditions, irrigation solutions are replenished frequently, because the antimicrobial effect is sustained only as long as the free chlorine is available in the solution, and the chlorine is consumed during tissue breakdown (5). Dentin contains 22% organic material, mainly collagen type I, which plays a major mechanical role (21). Depletion of the organic phase after NaOCl treatment may cause mechanical change (22). The lack of difference between the 10and 20-min periods suggests maximal removal of the organic material during the first 10 min from the dentin walls.

Both concentrations caused a substantial decrease in microhardness at 500 μ m compared with the control group. As expected, at 500 μ m, 6% NaOCl gave a more significant decrease in microhardness than 2.5% NaOCl for all irrigation periods. At 1000 μ m and 1500 μ m, only a nonsignificant trend was found, possibly because of limited sodium hypochlorite penetration further away from the lumen.

A 6% sodium hypochlorite caused a more significant decrease in microhardness compared with a 2.5% concentration. Bearing in mind that there is only little antibacterial advantage to the use of higher concentration of sodium hypochlorite (23) and that higher concentration of NaOCl also may be cytotoxic (24), it may be prudent to not select higher concentrations of NaOCl to not hamper the physical properties of dentin.

CONCLUSIONS

Exposure of bovine dentin to sodium hypochlorite solution for more than 10 min decreases dentin microhardness. It may, therefore, be advantageous to limit the irrigation time to a period shorter than 10 min to not weaken the tooth or to use an alternative irrigation solution, such as chlorhexidine. Further research is required to find out if chlorhexidine has any advantage over NaOCl in retaining the physical properties of dentine.

At a depth of 500 μ m from the lumen, 6% NaOCl has a greater effect on dentin microhardness than 2.5% NaOCl. Therefore, it is advisable not to use higher concentrations of NaOCl, so to not alter the physical properties of dentin—and jeopardize the tooth.

Dr. Slutzky-Goldberg is instructor, Dr. Heling is clinical associate professor, and Dr. Maree is affiliated with the Department of Endodontics, the Hebrew University-Hadassah School of Dental Medicine. Dr. Liberman is professor, Department of Restorative Dentistry, The Maurice and Gabriella Goldschleger School of Dental Medicine, Tel Aviv University. Address requests for reprints to Iris Slutzky-Goldberg, DMD, Hebrew University-Hadassah School of Dental Medicine. E-mail: slutsky@ netvision.net.il.

References

1. Sakae T, Mshima H, Kozawa Y. Changes in bovine dentin mineral with sodium hypochlorite treatment. J Dent Res 1988;67:1229–34.

 Sim TPC, Knowles JC, Ng Y-L, Shelton J, Gulabivala K. Effect of sodium hypochlorite on mechanical properties of dentin and tooth surface strain. Int Endod J 2001;34:120–32.

 Saleh A, Ettman WM. Effect of endodontic irrigation solutions on microhardness of root canal. J Dent 1999;27:43–6.

4. Slutzky-Goldberg I, Liberman R, Heling I. The effect of instrumentation with two different file types, each with 2.5% NaOCI irrigation on the micro-hardness of root dentin. J Endod 2002;28:311–2.

5. Spanberg LZ. Instruments, materials, and devices. In: Cohen SS, Burns RC, eds. Pathways of the pulp. 8th ed. St. Louis: Mosby, 2002:521–72.

 Haikel Y, Gorce F, Allemann C, Voegel JC. In vitro efficiency of endodontic irrigation solutions on protein desorption. Int Endod J 1994;27:16–20.
Abid V, Spratt D, Gulabivala K. ESR research abstracts presented at the

Congress held in Zagreb in October. Int Endod J 2000;33:143–69.

8. Chng HK, Palamara JE, Messer HH. Effect of hydrogen peroxide and sodium perborate on biomechanical properties of human dentin. J Endod 2002;28:62–7.

9. Dogan H, Qalt S. Effects of chelating agents and sodium hypochlorite on mineral content of root dentin. J Endod 2001;27:578-80.

10. Inoue T, Takahashi H, Nishimura F. Anisotropy of tensile strengths of bovine dentin regarding dentinal tubule orientation and location. Dent Mater J 2002;21:32–43.

11. Liu J, Hattori M, Hasegawa K, Yoshinari M, Kawada E, Oda Y. Effect tubule orientation and dentin location on the microtensile strength of bovine root dentin. Dent Mater J 2002;21:73–82.

12. Sedgley CM, Messer HH. Are endodontically treated teeth more brittle? J Endod 1992;18:332–5.

13. Lewinstein I, Grajower R. Root dentin hardness of endodontically treated teeth. J Endod 1981;7:421–2.

14. Schilke R, Lisson JA, Bauss O, Geurtsen W. Comparison of the number and diameter of dentinal tubules in human and bovine dentine by scanning electron microscopic investigation. Arch Oral Biol 2000:45:355–61.

15. Niu W, Yoshioka T, Kobayashi C, Suda H. A scanning electron microscopic study of dentinal erosion by final irrigation with EDTA and NaOCI solutions. Int Endod J 2002;35:934–9.

16. Pashley D, Okabe A, Parham P. The relationship between dentin microhardness and tubule density. Endod Dent Traumatol 1985;1:176–9.

17. Seaman F, Shannon IL. Fluoride treatment and microhardness of dentin. J Prosth Dent 1979;41:528-30.

18. Craig RG, Gehring PE, Peyton FA. Relation of structure to the microhardness of human dentin. J Dent Res 1959;38:624–30.

19. Fusayama T, Okuse K, Hosoda H. Relation between hardness, discoloration and microbial invasion in carious dentin. J Dent Res 1966;45:1033– 46.

20. Kinney JH, Marshall SJ, Marshall GW. The mechanical properties of human dentin: a critical review and re-evaluation of the dental literature. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 2003;14:13–28.

21. Trowbridge HO, Kim S. Pulp development structure and function. In: Cohen S, Burns RC, eds. Pathways of the pulp. 5th ed. St. Louis: Mosby Year Book, 1991.

22. O'Driscoll C, Dowker S, Anderson P, Wilson R, Gulabivala K. Effect of sodium hypochlorite on depletion of dentine [Abstract]. Int Endod J 2000;33: 160.

23. Bystrom A, Sundqvist G. The antibacterial action of sodium hypochlorite and EDTA in 60 cases of endodontic therapy. Int Endod J 1985;18:35–40.

24. Hidalgo E, Bartolome R, Dominguez C. Cytotoxicity mechanisms of sodium hypochlorite in cultured human dermal fibroblasts and its bactericidal effectiveness. Chem Biol Interact 2002;139:265–82.